UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re

No. 13-53846

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,

Chapter 9

Debtor.

HON. STEVEN W. RHODES

ATTACHMENT

APPELLANT STATE OF MICHIGAN'S DESIGNATION OF ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Item	Date	Docket#	Description
	Filed		
4.	10/7/2013	1108	Debtor's Objection to Catherine
			Phillips, et al.'s Motion for Relief
			from Order Pursuant to Section
			105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code
			Extending the Chapter 9 Stay to
			Certain (A) State Entities, (B) Non
			Officer Employees and (C) Agents
			and Representatives of the Debtor

Docket #1108 Date Filed: 10/7/2013

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Chapter 9 In re

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, Case No. 13-53846

> Debtor. Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

DEBTOR'S OBJECTION TO CATHERINE PHILLIPS, et al.'s MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(a) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE EXTENDING THE CHAPTER 9 STAY TO CERTAIN (A) STATE ENTITIES, (B) NON OFFICER EMPLOYEES AND (C) AGENTS AND REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEBTOR

The City of Detroit (the "City") objects to Catherine Phillips, et al.'s (collectively, the "Plaintiffs") Motion for Relief from the Order Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code Extending the Chapter 9 Stay to Certain (A) State Entities, (B) Non Officer Employees and (C) Agents and Representatives of the Debtor [Dkt. No. 1004] (the "Stay Relief Motion") and supporting brief (the "Stay Relief Brief"). In support of this Objection, the City incorporates in their entirety the arguments set forth in the Brief in Opposition to the Stay Relief Motion, filed contemporaneously herewith (the "Brief in Opposition") and respectfully represents as follows:

Objection

For all of the reasons set forth in the Brief in Opposition, the relief requested in the Stay Relief Motion must be denied.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein and in the Brief in Opposition, the City respectfully requests that this Court: (a) deny the Stay Relief Motion; and (b) grant such other and further relief to the City as the Court may deem proper.

Dated: October 7, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Stephen S. LaPlante
Jonathan S. Green (P33140)
Stephen S. LaPlante (P48063)
Timothy A. Fusco (P13768)
MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND
STONE, P.L.C.
150 West Jefferson
Suite 2500
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 963-6420
Facsimile: (313) 496-7500
green@millercanfield.com
laplante@millercanfield.com
fusco@millercanfield.com

David G. Heiman (OH 0038271) Heather Lennox (OH 0059649) JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone: (216) 586-3939 Facsimile: (216) 579-0212 dgheiman@jonesday.com hlennox@jonesday.com

Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
bbennett@jonesday.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT